Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/674,978	SADATOMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thomas H Parsons	1745
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Thomas H Parsons</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Richard Tushin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>06 July 2004</u> .		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-14, 16-22, and 24</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>NA</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>English language translations of the foreign priority documents were received at the USPTO on 20 may 2004. However, the translations were not available in the file until after the mailing of the final office action. The translations overcome the rejection of the the translations over Yamashita et al.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	ture, if required